

Agenda item 2.3

Paragraph 10 of the annotated agenda

Simplification of information to be collected from DOEs for DOE annual activity report

CDM EB 102

Bonn, Germany, 25 to 28 March 2019



Procedural background

1. EB99 requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note on simplifying the information to be collected from DOEs, in consultation with the CDM-AP and DOE/AIE Coordination Forum. The concept note is to be presented at the first meeting of the Board in 2019.
2. AP82 provided one input to the first draft of the concept note.
3. Draft note after AP82 was circulated to DOE/AIE Coordination Forum and one input was received.
4. AP83 considered the draft note and provided no further input.



Purpose

Simplification of the information to be collected from DOEs in their future annual activity reports.



Structure of DOE annual activity report

1. Introduction;
2. Organization;
3. CDM validation and verification/certification (VVC) activities;
4. Impartiality;
5. Interactions with interested parties;
6. Financial statement;
7. Challenges;
8. Other business activities;
9. Authorization



Section 2: ORGANIZATION

1. To remove subsections on:

- List of major changes in organization structure and personnel
- Number of entity's top management
- Internal audits and management reviews

Rationale: No useful conclusion can be drawn for comparison amongst DOEs.

2. To modify subsection reporting the number of external individual:

- To include the number of internal individual.

Rationale: To have more comprehensive information of DOE resources to conduct validation and/or verification.



Section 3: CDM VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION/CERTIFICATION ACTIVITIES

One input was received from a DOE regarding subsections on timeframe and cost for VVC service:

“As many DOEs have very small numbers of validations and verifications, the requested information on timeframes of DOE services and cost per region is not meaningful any more. In any case, time frames and case pricing does not depend on the region but rather on the complexity of the validation/verification task. Therefore, it is suggested to remove this question, and simply ask for average timeframes and average prices globally instead.”

To modify subsections on timeframe and cost/fee of VVC service:

- To report directly timeframe and cost/fee of VVC service for each region and for each type of activity (large/small/PoA).

Rationale:

- *Information for each region and type of activity is useful for PP and information was requested by CMP (Decision 2/CMP.5);*
- *For simplifying the process for obtaining the information.*



Proposed changes (3)

Section 4: IMPARTIALITY; and

Section 5: INTERACTIONS WITH INTERESTED PARTIES

To remove the entire sections.

Rationale: No useful conclusion can be drawn for comparison amongst DOEs.



Recommendation to the Board

The secretariat recommends that the Board approve the revised information to be collected from the DOEs through the CDM-AAR-FORM.



THANK YOU



Agenda item 2.3.

Paragraph 10 of the annotated agenda