

Agenda item 4.1.

Paragraph 30 (b) of the annotated agenda

TOOL06 “Project emissions from flaring”

CDM EB 102

Bonn, Germany, 25 to 28 March 2019



Procedural background

At EB85, the Board requested the MP to recommend a revision to the “TOOL06: Project emissions from flaring” to include non-binding best practice examples.

At EB99 the Board expanded the mandate to revise the tool (in addition to on-going work of NBBPE) to:

- a) Align TOOL06 with the latest version of "TOOL08: Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream", as appropriate;
- b) Enhance the clarity of description of options B.1 and B.2 in TOOL06;
- c) Develop a spreadsheet for TOOL06 similar to the one developed for TOOL08.

At MP77, the Panel agreed to launch a call for public inputs. One input was received.



Purpose

The purpose of the revision is to:

- a) Introduce non binding best practice examples in the tool:
- b) Align TOOL06 with the latest version of "TOOL08: Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream";
- c) Enhance the clarity of description of options B.1 and B.2 in TOOL06;
- d) Develop a spreadsheet for TOOL06 similar to the one developed for TOOL08.



Key issues and proposed solutions

Non binding best practice examples:

- 1) Technologies suitable for flame detection (2 examples).
- 2) Biannual measurement of the flare efficiency (1 example)

Proposed revisions:

- Update the tool in the latest template;
- Enhance the clarity of the options for the measurement of flare efficiency B.1 (biannual) and B.2 (continuous)
- Include a 5% adjustment factor for biannual measurement;
- To allow the use of the default value as backup in case of missing data relevant to the measurement of the flare efficiency
- Further editorial improvements.

Develop a spreadsheet for a sample calculation of project emissions from flaring.



Impacts

The proposed revision will streamline the applicability of the methodological tool and facilitate its use by the project participants.



Recommendations to the Board

The MP recommends that the Board adopt the revised methodological tool.

